IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 392 of 2005 (M/B)

M/s Maha Laxmi Timber and Plywood Factory,
Sultanpur, Kumhari Laksar,
District Haridwar, Uttaranchal,
Through its Director Rahul Garg,
S/o Satyendra Garg. …… Petitioner

Versus

1. General Manager / Dirrector,
   Ganga Pradushan Niyantran Unit,
   Uttaranchal Pay Jal Nigam, Srinagar,
   Camp at Dehradun.
2. Manager, Planning,
   Ganga Pradushan Niyantran Unit,
   Uttaranchal Pay Jal Sansthan Vikas Nirman Nigam,
   Haridwar. …… Respondents.

Mr. K.N. Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dalakoti, Advocate on behalf of Mr. D.S. Patni, Advocate for the respondents.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Mr. K.N. Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dalakoti, Advocate on behalf of Mr. D.S. Patni, Advocate for the respondents.

They are heard.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition for the following reliefs:

"I. Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to issue the acceptance letter in favour of the petitioner in the terms and condition of the tender notice.

II. Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to comply the condition No. 5 and 6 of the Tender Notice and summon the record from the respondents.

III. Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner which is pending before the respondents.

IV. Issue any other order or directing which this Hon'ble court may deem, fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

V. Award the cost of petition."

3. During the course of hearing, Mr. K.N. Joshi, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if the respondents are directed to consider and decide the petitioner's representation (Annexure-4) within a reasonable time.

4. On due consideration, the respondents are directed to consider and decide petitioner's representation (Annexure 4), if the same is still pending, within a period of four weeks from the production of a certified copy of this order.

5. With the above direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

6. With the above order, CLMA No. 1775 of 2005 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**
23.06.2006 23.06.2006

G